arXiv:1403.6959v1 [gr-qc] 27 Mar 2014

Force-free magnectosphere on near-NHEK

Huiquan Li [1], Cong Yu, Jiancheng Wang and Zhaoyi Xu

Yunnan Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
650011 Kunming, China
Key Laboratory for the Structure and Evolution of Celestial Objects,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, 650011 Kunming, China

Abstract

We study the force-free magnetosphere in the Blandford-Znajek process from rapidly rotating black holes by adopting the near-horizon geometry of near-extreme Kerr black holes (near-NHEK), on which the Kerr/CFT is constructed. It is shown that the Znajek regularity condition on the horizon can be directly derived from the resulting stream equation on near-NHEK, in a way other than the usual approach. We also find that the behaviour of the magnetosphere around the rotation axis can be described by a consistent solution that indicates strong convergence of energy and angular-momentum extraction on the axis.

1 Introduction

Black hole magnetospheres as well as many high-energy astrophysical objects, for instance, relativistic jets in active galactic nuclei and gamma-ray bursts and probably ultra-strongly magnetized neutron stars (magnetars) involve relativistically magnetically dominated plasma. Under such circumstances, magnetic fields play crucial roles in the dynamics of these astrophysical scenarios, which can drive powerful winds/jets from these astrophysical objects. In addition, the magnetic dissipation can also give rise to remarkable non-thermal emissions in the these high-energy astrophysical phenomena. It is widely accepted that, in these objects, the magnetic energy density conspicuously exceeds the thermal and rest mass energy density of particles. The force-free electrodynamics behave well in such extreme magnetically dominated scenarios as the less important terms, such as the inertia and pressure, are entirely ignored. In the framework of force-free electrodynamics, Blandford and Znajeck (BZ) [1] proposed that the rotation energy of a kerr black

[1]E-mail: lhq@ynao.ac.cn

hole could be extracted via the action of force free electromagnetic fields, in the form of Poynting flux via magnetic field lines penetrating the central black hole. The tapping of the rotational energy form spinning black hole via magnetized plasma appears to be the most astrophysically promising mechanism to exploit the black hole energy. Relativistic jets in active galactic nuclei, galactic microquasars, and gamma ray bursts may well be driven by the BZ mechanism.

Exploring solutions in this configuration is crucial for examining the energy extraction process and checking the efficiency of this mechanism. However, very few analytical solutions have been obtained so far. In particular, the solutions in the case of rapidly rotating holes are very hard to derive. An important reason is that little information can be extracted from the single stream equation, accompanied with a regularity condition on the horizon [2]. In the original work [1], split monopole and paraboloidal solutions for slowly rotating black holes are obtained with expansion on the angular momentum of the hole to the leading order. But, this perturbative approach involving higher order corrections seems unsuccessful in searching for solutions for rapidly rotating back holes [3]. The only known exact solutions for arbitrary angular momentum are obtained in the far-field region [4, 5], which indeed implies the formation of collimated outflow or jet [6].

In theoretical physics, extreme and near-extreme black holes attract plenty of attention in past years, due to the proposal of the AdS/CFT correspondence. For extremely rapidly rotating black holes, this specific dual description is the Kerr/CFT correspondence [7, 8, 9], constructed on the near-horizon geometry of (near-)extreme Kerr black holes, namely (near-)NHEK [10, 8]. In this paper, we shall adopt the near-NHEK, replacing the full Kerr metric, to study the force-free magnetosphere around near-extreme Kerr black holes. The near-NHEK should be very suitable for seeking for solutions in this system. First, it has a very simple form with precise symmetries, so that we can simplify the equations and study them analytically. Second, in the near-horizon region, we can take full advantage of the constraint condition on the horizon. Hopefully, the solution obtained on near-NHEK may provide us clues for searching for solutions in the full Kerr background.

Meanwhile, the astrophysical process including the BZ process near rapidly rotating holes may also provide an arena for testing the Kerr/CFT correspondence. Recently, there appear the works [11, 12] along this line, where the authors studied the gravity wave signal produced by probing massive objects on (near-)NHEK of astronomical black holes in the context of Kerr/CFT. In [13], the dual CFT aspects of the magnetosphere around slow-rotating balck holes on AdS space has been discussed.

Here, we do not plan to consider the CFT side of the force-free magnetosphere near a rapidly rotating hole. We just try to use the near-NHEK to explore solutions to the

system. The paper is organised as follows. In Section. 2, we present the near-NHEK and the expressions of EM fields on it. In Section. 3, we derive the equation of force-free magnetosphere on near-NHEK and then seek for solutions in special cases. In Section. 4, we show that it is possible to form a outward flux from the derived solution. A brief summary is made in the last section.

2 EM fields on the near-NHEK

2.1 The near-NHEK

Consider Kerr black holes on the Boyer-Lindquist (BL) coordinates

$$ds^2 = \frac{\triangle}{\Sigma}(d\hat{t} - a\sin^2\theta d\hat{\phi})^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{\triangle}dr^2 - \Sigma d\theta^2 - \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}((r^2+a^2)d\hat{\phi} - ad\hat{t})^2, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\triangle = (r-r_+)(r-r_-), \quad r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2-a^2}$$
$$\Sigma = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta, \quad a = \frac{J}{M}.$$

$r_\pm$ are the radii of the inner and outer horizons, respectively, and J is the angular momentum of the black hole. The determinant of the metric is $\sqrt{-\hat{g}} = \Sigma\sin\theta$.

We adopt the following notations and coordinate transformations:

$$r = r_+(1+\delta), \quad \delta = \lambda U, \quad \hat{t} = \frac{2r_+\bar{t}}{\lambda}, \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \phi + \frac{\bar{t}}{\lambda\alpha}, \quad \alpha = \frac{a}{r_+}, \quad \lambda\epsilon = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+} = 1-\alpha^2. \tag{3}$$

To get the last equation, we have used the relation: $r_+r_- = a^2$. Taking λ to be small values closed to 0 (this is also the near-extreme case with $\alpha \to 1$ for finite and fixed ϵ), we have the near-horizon geometry of (1)

$$ds^2 = 2r_+^2\Gamma(\theta)^2\left[U(U+\epsilon)d\bar{t}^2 - \frac{dU^2}{U(U+\epsilon)} - d\theta^2 - f(\theta)^2\left(d\phi + \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon+2U)d\bar{t}\right)^2\right], \tag{4}$$

where

$$\Gamma(\theta)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1+\cos^2\theta), \quad f(\theta) = \frac{\sin\theta}{\Gamma^2}. \tag{5}$$

This near-horizon geometry for each fixed θ can be viewed as a warped AdS_3 space with the $SL(2,R)\times U(1)$ isometry group. The geometry has been derived in [8] via different coordinate redefinitions.

For later use, we further employ the following redefinitions

$$U = \epsilon \sinh^2 \frac{\rho}{2}, \quad \bar{t} = \frac{2t}{\epsilon}. \tag{6}$$

The metric simply becomes

$$ds^2 = 2r_+^2 \Gamma(\theta)^2 \left[\sinh^2 \rho dt^2 - d\rho^2 - d\theta^2 - f(\theta)^2 (d\phi + \cosh \rho dt)^2\right], \tag{7}$$

Towards the extreme limit, ρ ranges in $0 \leq \rho < \infty$. The event horizon is located at $\rho = 0$. The determinant of the metric becomes

$$\sqrt{-g} = 4r_+^4 \Gamma^2 \sin\theta \sinh\rho. \tag{8}$$

Around the polar axis $\theta \sim 0$ and $\sim \pi$, we have

$$\Gamma(\theta)^2 \simeq 1, \quad f(\theta) \simeq \sin\theta. \tag{9}$$

In this case, the near-NHEK in $0 \leq \rho \ll 1$ is very similar to the near-horizon geometry of Schwarzschild black holes (i.e., the Rindler $\times$ sphere), except for a transformation $d\phi \to d\phi + \cosh \rho dt$.

2.2 EM fields

The null tetrads in the Carter frame on the near-NHEK are derived

$$l^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} r_+ \Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh\rho}, 1, 0, -\coth\rho\right), \tag{10}$$

$$n^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} r_+ \Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh\rho}, -1, 0, -\coth\rho\right), \tag{11}$$

$$m^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} r_+ \Gamma} \left(0, 0, 1, \frac{i}{f}\right). \tag{12}$$

The tetrads are related to the electromagnetic (EM) field strength via

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} (l^\mu n^\nu + \bar{m}^\mu m^\nu),$$

$$\phi_0 = F_{\mu\nu} l^\mu m^\nu, \quad \phi_2 = F_{\mu\nu} \bar{m}^\mu n^\nu,$$

where $E_1 + iB_1 = 2\phi_1$, $E_2 + iB_2 = \phi_2 - \phi_0$ and $E_3 + iB_3 = i(\phi_2 + \phi_0)$. Hence, the EM fields near the near-extreme Kerr are given by:

$$E_\rho = \frac{-1}{r_+^2 \Gamma^2 \sinh\rho} (F_{t\rho} + \cosh\rho F_{\rho\phi}), \quad B_\rho = \frac{1}{r_+^2 \Gamma^2 f} F_{\theta\phi}, \tag{13}$$

$$E_\theta = \frac{-1}{r_+^2\Gamma^2\sinh\rho}(F_{t\theta} + \cosh\rho F_{\theta\phi}), \quad B_\theta = \frac{-1}{r_+^2\Gamma^2 f}F_{\rho\phi}, \tag{14}$$

$$E_\phi = \frac{-1}{r_+^2\Gamma^2 f\sinh\rho}F_{t\phi}, \quad B_\phi = \frac{1}{r_+^2\Gamma^2}F_{\rho\theta}. \tag{15}$$

Some components may be singular on the horizon since the tetrads (10)-(12) are singular on the horizon in this frame. But if we transform the tetrads into the ones in the ingoing frame by null rotation, the tetrads can be finite on the horizon.

3 The force-free magnetosphere

In addition to the Maxwell's equations, the force-free condition is imposed [2]

$$F_{\mu\nu}J^\nu = 0. \tag{16}$$

In the stationary and axisymmetric case, the equations give rise to

$$\frac{dA_t}{dA_\phi} = -\omega(A_\phi(\rho,\theta)). \tag{17}$$

That is, the angular velocity ω of the RM fields is a function of A_ϕ. Another quantity that is a function of A_ϕ is B_T. In the original paper [1], it is defined by $\hat{B}_T = \sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{g}^{rr}\hat{g}^{\theta\theta}F_{r\theta} = (\triangle/\Sigma)\sin\theta F_{r\theta}$. Since the redefinition of r leads to the relation $F_{r\theta} = 2F_{\rho\theta}/(\lambda\epsilon r_+\sinh\rho)$, we have $\hat{B}_T = f(\theta)\sinh\rho F_{\rho\theta}/(4r_+)$. Here, following the same definition, B_T on the near-NHEK is

$$B_T = \sqrt{-g}g^{\rho\rho}g^{\theta\theta}F_{\rho\theta} = f(\theta)\sinh\rho F_{\rho\theta}. \tag{18}$$

So we get $\hat{B}_T = (\lambda\epsilon/4r_+)B_T = (dt/d\hat{t})B_T$.

Thus, the EM fields can be expressed as

$$E_\rho = -\frac{\bar{\omega}}{r_+^2\Gamma^2\sinh\rho}\partial_\rho A_\phi, \quad B_\rho = \frac{1}{r_+^2\Gamma^2 f}\partial_\theta A_\phi, \tag{19}$$

$$E_\theta = -\frac{\bar{\omega}}{r_+^2\Gamma^2\sinh\rho}\partial_\theta A_\phi, \quad B_\theta = \frac{-1}{r_+^2\Gamma^2 f}\partial_\rho A_\phi, \tag{20}$$

$$E_\phi = 0, \quad B_\phi = \frac{1}{r_+^2\Gamma^2}F_{\rho\theta} = \frac{B_T}{r_+^2\sin\theta\sinh\rho}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\bar{\omega} \equiv \omega + \cosh\rho.$$

[2] In the more conventional form, $\rho_e\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}\times\mathbf{B} = 0$, where ρ_e and $\mathbf{J}$ are charge and current densities.

The field components automatically satisfy the degenerate condition $\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B}=0$. In contrast to the corresponding components given in [2], the changes on the poloidal EM components mainly come from the transformations

$$1-a\sin^2\theta\hat{\omega}\to\Gamma^2,\quad (r^2+a^2)\hat{\omega}-a\to\frac{1}{2}r_+\lambda\epsilon\bar{\omega}, \tag{22}$$

under $(\hat{t},\hat{\phi})\to(t,\phi)$ (in the limit $\lambda\to 0$). The transformations are direct results of those of the relevant one-forms: $d\hat{t}-a\sin^2\theta d\hat{\phi}\to 4r_+\Gamma^2 dt/\lambda\epsilon$ and $(r^2+a^2)d\hat{\phi}-ad\hat{t}\to 2r_+^2(d\phi+\cosh\rho dt)$.

For a general stationary and axisymmetric metric, we finally arrive at a stream differential equation for the toroidal vector potential [1]. Inserting the near-NHEK metric (7), we obtain the equation for A_ϕ in the present situation

$$\frac{1}{F}\partial_i\left(\frac{\bar{\omega}^2F^2-1}{F}\partial^i A_\phi\right)-\bar{\omega}\omega'(\partial_i A_\phi)^2=\frac{B_T B_T'}{f^2}, \tag{23}$$

where $i=(\rho,\theta)$, the primes stand for the derivative with respect to A_ϕ and

$$F(\rho,\theta)=\frac{f}{\sinh\rho}. \tag{24}$$

Denoting $x=\cosh\rho$, we can re-express the equation as

$$\begin{aligned}(x^2-1)\left\{f^2[\bar{\omega}\partial_x(\bar{\omega}\partial_x A_\phi)+\bar{\omega}\partial_x A_\phi]-\partial_x[(x^2-1)\partial_x A_\phi]-f\partial_\theta\left(f^{-1}\partial_\theta A_\phi\right)\right\}\\ +f\bar{\omega}\partial_\theta(f\bar{\omega}\partial_\theta A_\phi)=B_T B_T'.\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

3.1 Boundary condition on the horizon

The field components (20) and (21) in the Carter frame become finite on the horizon by null rotating into the ingoing frame. This leads to some constraints on these fields on horizon. From the constraints, the boundary condition of $\hat{B}_T$ on the horizon is derived by Znajek in [2]:

$$\hat{B}_T(r=r_+,\theta)=\frac{\sin\theta[\hat{\omega}(r_+^2+a^2)-a]}{\Sigma(r_+,\theta)}\partial_\theta\hat{A}_{\hat{\phi}}(r_+,\theta). \tag{26}$$

As stated above, the EM field components between two coordinate systems are related with the transformations (22). Hence, from the same constraints on the EM fields, we can get the boundary condition of B_T on near-NHEK

$$B_T(\rho=0,\theta)=f(\theta)(\omega+1)\partial_\theta A_\phi. \tag{27}$$

Here, we show that this condition can actually be derived directly from the stream differential equation (25). It is easy to see that, approaching the horizon $\rho \to 0$ or $x \to 1$, both sides of this equation in the second line are identical, if the sum of the terms within the braces in the first line are not singular. This identification exactly gives rise to the boundary condition of B_T given in Eq. (27).

3.2 Solution around the rotation axis $f \sim 0$

We consider the case of separable solutions to the stream equation: $A_\phi = A_1(x)A_2(\theta)$. We can see that when

$$A_1 = x, \qquad \omega = W(\theta)x, \tag{28}$$

the part within the braces in the first line of Eq. (25) forms an equation only relying on the variable θ. From the boundary condition (27), it is natural to guess that the solution of B_T should be of the form:

$$B_T = f(\theta)\bar{\omega}\partial_\theta A_\phi. \tag{29}$$

Thus, the two sides of the second line of Eq. (25) are equal. We only need to consider consistent solutions to the rest part of the equation.

Since ω and B_T are both functions of A_ϕ, they must take the following forms from Eqs. (28) and (29)

$$\omega = nA_\phi, \qquad B_T = mA_\phi^2, \tag{30}$$

with $W(\theta) = nA_2$ and m, n being constants. Inserting them into Eq. (29) leads to the relation

$$f(nA_2 + 1)\partial_\theta A_2 = mA_2^2. \tag{31}$$

The remainder of Eq. (25) (the part within the braces) becomes

$$f^2(nA_2 + 2)(nA_2 + 1) - 2 - \frac{f}{A_2}\partial_\theta\left(\frac{1}{f}\partial_\theta A_2\right) = 0. \tag{32}$$

A consistent solution must satisfy both constraining equations (31) and (32). However, it is hard to determine whether there exist such solutions for all θ.

Here, we only explore the behaviour around the rotation axis $f \sim 0$, i.e., $\theta \sim 0$ or $\sim \pi$. We consider the case $\theta \sim 0$ in what follows and the situation is the same for the other pole. In this case, we can find that both equations (31) and (32) can be approximately solved by

$$A_2 \simeq \frac{k}{\theta^2}, \tag{33}$$

where k is a constant. It follows that the coefficients satisfy the following relations

$$\frac{m}{n} = -2, \quad nk = \pm 2\sqrt{2}. \tag{34}$$

For this solution, the EM field components have the relation $E_\theta = -B_\phi$. This solution indicates that A_ϕ and the EM fields strongly converge along the polar axis. Interestingly, the angular dependence of the solution is the same as that in the exact solution (as the poloidal function Λ is constant; Λ takes the same sign as m but the opposite sign to n, k) obtained in far-field region [4, 5, 6]. There is a singularity on the axis $\theta = 0$. It is not well understood about this singular behaviour. But this solution does consistently solve the equation approximately in this region. We may also think of this in the following way: if the EM fields are too strong, there should be copious production of electro-positron pairs, which will destroy the force-free condition. So we might not worry about the singularity on the axis.

3.3 Behaviour at large ρ

The solution at large distance $\rho \gg 1$ or $x \gg 1$ (note that this case is still near the event horizon) should be smoothly connected with the outer region. Now we consider the general solutions in this case, in which the metric (7) is actually a portion of the near-horizon geometry of exactly extreme Kerr black holes (namely NHEK) [10, 7]. So the solutions in this case are also the ones to the force-free magnetosphere around exactly extreme Kerr holes.

We still choose the ansatz (29). For $x \gg 1$, A_1 in Eq. (25) now enjoys more freedom to have the general solution of the form

$$A_1 = x^q, \tag{35}$$

with $q \neq 0$. Thus, we take ω and B_T as functions of A_ϕ in the following way

$$\omega = n A_\phi^{\frac{1}{q}}, \qquad B_T = m A_\phi^{1+\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{36}$$

Similarly, we can get the consistent approximate solutions around the rotation axis

$$A_2 = k\theta^{\frac{m}{n}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{m}{n} < 0. \tag{37}$$

The coefficients satisfy

$$\frac{m}{nq} = -2, \quad n^2 k^{\frac{2}{q}} = \frac{4(1+q)}{q}. \tag{38}$$

Since $m/n < 0$, we have $q > 0$. For $0 < q \leq 1$, $-2 \leq m/n < 0$. In this case, the solution and the EM fields can be less convergent along the rotation axis away from the horizon. The solution derived in the previous subsection is valid for all x and is a special case here. As we noticed, the angular dependence can be consistent with that of the solution in the asymptotic region [4, 5, 6]. But the radial dependence is not. Here the angular velocity ω of the EM fields is distance dependent, proportional to x, while the asymptotic solution is not. Thus, there may be a transition region between the near-NHEK and the asymptotical region.

4 Energy and angular-momentum extraction

As above, we denote the quantities on the original BL coordinates (1) by a hat. Then the vector potentials between the two coordinate systems are related via

$$\hat{A}_{\hat{t}} = \frac{1}{4r_+}\left(\lambda\epsilon A_t - 2A_\phi\right), \quad \hat{A}_{\hat{\phi}} = A_\phi. \tag{39}$$

The relation between the angular velocities is

$$\hat{\omega} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\epsilon\omega\right)\Omega_H, \tag{40}$$

where $\Omega_H \equiv 1/(2r_+)$. Towards the horizon $\lambda \to 0$, $\hat{\omega} \to \Omega_H$ as expected, since nk is constant. So we actually have done an expansion of $\hat{\omega}$ near the horizon in the order $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ in the above discussion.

As given in Eq. (22), $(r^2+a^2)\hat{\omega} - a \to 2r_+^2\bar{\omega}(dt/d\hat{t})$. In terms of the boundary condition (26) and the near-horizon solution (29), we present the solution of $\hat{B}_T$ in the near-horizon region:

$$\hat{B}_T(r,\theta) = \frac{\sin\theta[\hat{\omega}(r^2+a^2) - a]}{\Sigma(r,\theta)}\partial_\theta \hat{A}_{\hat{\phi}}(r,\theta) \simeq B_T(\rho,\theta)\frac{dt}{d\hat{t}}, \tag{41}$$

where r is closed to r_+.

We assume that the approximate solution in subsection (3.2) is valid within $\theta_c \leq \theta \leq \theta_v$, with θ_v representing the value that the approximation is appropriate and θ_c representing the critical value that the EM field cut-off occurs. Then, the rate of energy extraction within this range is

$$\frac{d\hat{E}}{d\hat{t}} = -\int_0^{2\pi} d\hat{\phi}\int_{\theta_c}^{\theta_v} d\theta\hat{\omega}\hat{B}_T\partial_\theta\hat{A}_{\hat{\phi}} \simeq \frac{m\pi\lambda\epsilon\Omega_H^2}{3}\left(\left[\hat{A}^3_{\hat{\phi}}\right]^{\theta=\theta_c}_{\theta=\theta_v} + \frac{3n\lambda\epsilon}{8}\left[\hat{A}^4_{\hat{\phi}}\right]^{\theta=\theta_c}_{\theta=\theta_v}\right). \tag{42}$$

The rate of angular momentum extraction is

$$\frac{d\hat{L}}{d\hat{t}} = \frac{m\pi\lambda\epsilon\Omega_H}{3}\left[\hat{A}^3_{\hat{\phi}}\right]^{\theta=\theta_c}_{\theta=\theta_v}. \tag{43}$$

Both are positive if m and k are of the same sign, meaning outward flux of energy and angular-momentum from the hole. So we are free from the inward flux problem encountered by the solutions in [4, 6], which actually correspond to the case here that m is of opposite sign to n, k, as stated in the previous section. It is seen that both rates gain main contributions from directions closer to the rotation axis. On the horizon $\lambda \to 0$, the rates both tend to zero if m, n and so k are finite. This is consistent with the result that $\hat{\omega} \to \Omega_H$ approaching the horizon.

5 Conclusions

It is possible that the (near-)NHEK makes a good tool to analytically study the force-free magnetosphere near rapidly rotating astronomical black holes. We have shown that the boundary condition on the horizon can be derived directly from the stream equation on near-NHEK. This provides a different way to determine this condition. We got a consistent solution near the rotation axis which implies the formation of collimated outflow (though with a singular form). The solution resembles the solutions obtained in the asymptotic region [4, 5, 6].

References

[1] R. Blandford and R. Znajek, *Electromagnetic extractions of energy from Kerr black holes*, *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **179** (1977) 433–456.

[2] R. Znajek, *Black hole electrodynamics and the Carter tetrad*, *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **179** (1977) 457–472.

[3] K. Tanabe and S. Nagataki, *Extended monopole solution of the Blandford-Znajek mechanism: Higher order terms for a Kerr parameter*, *Phys.Rev.* **D78** (2008) 024004, [arXiv:0802.0908].

[4] G. Menon and C. D. Dermer, *Analytic solutions to the constraint equation for a force-free magnetosphere around a kerr black hole*, *Astrophys.J.* **635** (2005) 1197–1202, [astro-ph/0509130].

[5] G. Menon and C. D. Dermer, *A class of exact solution to the blandford-znajek process*, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **39** (2007) 785–794, [astro-ph/0511661].

[6] G. Menon and C. D. Dermer, *Jet Formation in the magnetospheres of supermassive black holes: analytic solutions describing energy loss through Blandford-Znajek processes*, arXiv:1105.4139.

[7] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song, and A. Strominger, *The Kerr/CFT Correspondence*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 124008, [arXiv:0809.4266].

[8] A. Castro and F. Larsen, *Near Extremal Kerr Entropy from AdS_2 Quantum Gravity*, *JHEP* **12** (2009) 037, [arXiv:0908.1121].

[9] I. Bredberg, C. Keeler, V. Lysov, and A. Strominger, *Cargese Lectures on the Kerr/CFT Correspondence*, arXiv:1103.2355.

[10] J. M. Bardeen and G. T. Horowitz, *The extreme Kerr throat geometry: A vacuum analog of AdS(2) x S(2)*, *Phys. Rev.* **D60** (1999) 104030, [hep-th/9905099].

[11] A. P. Porfyriadis and A. Strominger, *Gravity Waves from Kerr/CFT*, arXiv:1401.3746.

[12] S. Hadar, A. P. Porfyriadis, and A. Strominger, *Gravity Waves from Extreme-Mass-Ratio Plunges into Kerr Black Holes*, arXiv:1403.2797.

[13] X. Wang and A. Ritz, *Kerr-AdS Black Holes and Force-Free Magnetospheres*, arXiv:1402.1452.